Als Typoskript gedruckt

Technische Universität Dresden

Herausgeber: Der Rektor

arXiv:1406.2915v1 [math-ph] 11 Jun 2014

On a Connection between the Maxwell System, the Extended Maxwell System, the Dirac Operator and Gravito-Electromagnetism.

Rainer Picard, Sascha Trostorff, & Marcus Waurick
Institut für Analysis

MATH-AN-02-2014

On a Connection between the Maxwell System, the Extended Maxwell System, the Dirac Operator and Gravito-Electromagnetism.

Rainer Picard,
Sascha Trostorff,
Marcus Waurick
Institut für Analysis, Fachrichtung Mathematik
Technische Universität Dresden
Germany
rainer.picard@tu-dresden.de
sascha.trostorff@tu-dresden.de
marcus.waurick@tu-dresden.de

June 12, 2014

Abstract. Maxwell's equation, Dirac's equation and the equation of gravito-electromagnetism are shown to be particular instances of the extended Maxwell system. The equations are discussed in the framework of the theory of evolutionary equations. Their formal relationship are systematically analyzed. Applications to coupled systems such as the Maxwell-Dirac system are also discussed.

Keywords and phrases: Maxwell's equations, extended Maxwell system, Dirac operator, Gravito--Electromagnetism, Maxwell-Dirac system, evolutionary equations

Mathematics subject classification 2010: 35Q61

Contents

0 Introduction **5**

1 Maxwell's System **8**
1.1 Maxwell's equations as an Evolutionary Problem 8
1.2 The Extended Maxwell System 13

2 Gravito-Electromagnetism (GEM) **18**

3 The Dirac System **20**

4 The Maxwell-Dirac System **25**

0 Introduction

We shall approach the different systems mentioned in the title as instances of the typical linear case of a general space-time operator equation

$$\partial_0 V + AU = f,$$

where f comprises given data, ∂_0 denotes the derivative with respect to time, A is a – usually – purely spatial operator and the quantities U, V are linked by a so-called material law

$$V = \mathcal{M}U.$$

Then the closed operator sum in question is $\overline{\partial_0 \mathcal{M} + A}$. As a matter of "philosophy", for the way we like to think about this problem class, the material law operator $\mathcal{M}$ is encoding the complexity of the physical material, whereas A is kept simple and usually only contains spatial derivatives. If $\mathcal{M}$ commutes with ∂_0 we speak of an autonomous system, a case which we will focus on here as a matter of simplification (for a treatment of non-autonomous problems see [24, 29]).

A prominent feature distinguishing general operator equations from those describing dynamic processes is the specific role of time, which is not just another space variable, but characterizes dynamic processes via the property of causality. Requiring causality for the solution operator $\overline{\partial_0 \mathcal{M} + A}^{-1}$ results in very specific types of material law operators $\mathcal{M}$, which are causal and compatible with causality of $\overline{\partial_0 \mathcal{M} + A}^{-1}$. This leads to deeper insights into the structural properties of mathematically viable models of physical phenomena. Causality suggests to think of prescribed initial data, which can be achieved by extending the solution theory canonically to temporal distributions with values in a Hilbert space. In this perspective considering an initial value problem, i.e. prescribing $V(0+)$, amounts to allowing a source term f of the form $\delta \otimes V_0$ defined by

$$\delta \otimes V_0(\varphi) := \langle V_0 | \varphi(0) \rangle_H$$

for φ in the space $\mathring{C}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of continuous H-valued functions with compact support. This source term encodes indeed the classical initial condition $V(0+) = V_0$.

Throughout, the scalar field of any Hilbert space H under consideration is the field of complex numbers, although we shall implicitly consider this type of Hilbert space occasionally as a real Hilbert space in so far as we consider positivity with respect to the corresponding real inner product $\mathrm{Re}\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_0$ derived from the actual inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_0$ of H. Note that inner products, indeed any sesquilinear forms, are assumed to be conjugate-linear in the first component and linear in the second component.

Taking Maxwell's equations as a starting example, we recall that these describe the evolution of the electro-magnetic field (E, H) in a 3-dimensional open set Ω. As Gauss's law can be incorporated by a suitable choice of initial data, we think of Maxwell's equations as

being composed of two separate laws of which the first is Faraday's law of induction (the Maxwell-Faraday equation), which reads as

$$\partial_0 B + \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{curl}} E = 0,$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\operatorname{curl}}$ denotes the (distributional) curl operator in $L^2(\Omega)^3$ with the electric boundary condition. B, the magnetic induction, satisfies the constitutive equation

$$B = \mu H,$$

where μ is the magnetic permeability. Faraday's law is complemented by Ampere's law

$$\partial_0 D + J_c - \operatorname{curl} H = J_0$$

for J_0, D, J_c being the external currents, the electric displacement and the induced current, respectively. The latter two quantities satisfy the two equations

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \varepsilon E, \text{ and} \\ J_c &= \sigma E. \end{aligned}$$

The former is a constitutive equation involving the dielectricity ε and the latter is Ohm's law with the conductivity σ. Plugging the constitutive relations and Ohm's law into Faraday's law of induction and Ampere's law and arranging them in a block operator matrix equation, we arrive at

$$\left(\partial_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \overset{\circ}{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} J_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above form of Maxwell's equations is actually due to O. Heaviside, which is therefore sometimes referred to as the Maxwell-Heaviside system. Maxwell used a formalization based on quaternions, which were discovered independently by O. Rodrigues (1840) and A. Hamilton (1843). Following the formal implications of the quaternion calculus T. Ohmura, [15], appears to have been the first to suggest explicitly an extended Maxwell system, which, as a by-product, allowed to incorporate charge preservation into the system of Maxwell's equations, without causing overdeterminancy, compare also [12]. This system in turn coincides in its Euclidean (non-quaternionic) form with a system proposed by Dirac, [4], for the construction of electrodynamic potentials in the presence of magnetic and electric charges.

Although Dirac has developed his equations from a direct formal calculation to find a first order factorization for the Klein-Gordon operator, the Dirac equation is easily recognized as of quaternionic nature (The Pauli matrices multiplied by the imaginary unit i together with the identity form a unitary quaternion basis).

A variant of the previously discussed extensions of the Maxwell system has been proposed

in a quaternionic framework as a linearized version of the gravitation equations by A. Singh, [25]. For this approach the label gravito-electromagnetism or GEM has been used, compare e.g. [28] as a more recent reference. The initiating idea, however, dates back to O. Heaviside, [8].

An independent strand of development is rooted in the use of alternating differential forms for the formulation of the Maxwell-Heaviside system. The rigorous investigation of initial boundary value problems for Maxwell's equations in the alternating differential form setting goes back to H. Weyl, [32], and K. O. Friedrichs, [7]. We mention in passing that, as noticed by N. Weck, the differential forms framework proved to be essential in showing a compact resolvent result for the spatial derivative operator of Maxwell's equations in the case of non-smooth boundaries, see [30]. Such types of results were previously derived from Rellich's compact embedding result, which, however, fails to hold even for simple non-smooth boundaries such as for example a unit cube $]0,1[^3$ with a smaller cube $[0,\varepsilon]^3$, $\varepsilon \in]0,1[$, removed.

Returning to our purpose here, we note that by following the intrinsic logic of the differential forms setting, a functional analytical framework for initial boundary value problems for Maxwell's equations can be embedded in an extended first order system on Riemannian manifolds, [20]. Considering the Euclidean free space case, it turns out that this extension formally coincides with the Ohmura system, [15].

That alternating tensors can also be used to formulate quantum dynamics has been observed and proposed (almost simultaneously to the publication of the celebrated Dirac system) by Iwanenko and Landau, [9]. Unfortunately, the idea has not found the attention of the main stream at the time, which eventually led to the independent rediscovery of the possibility of reformulating quantum dynamics in 4-dimensional alternating differential forms by E. Kähler, [10]. Again, in its Euclidean form, these equations turn out to coincide with the above extended Maxwell system.

It is again the Clifford algebra setting in which the connection between the Dirac equation and Maxwell's equations has first been established, see e.g. [13, 11].

Despite of the possibility to discuss the interconnectedness of GEM, the Dirac equation and Maxwell equations within the general framework of alternating differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, as a matter of accessibility and simplicity we shall here focus on the Euclidean case in open domains of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Our main interest is to establish well-posedness results for related initial boundary value problems and to discuss the intimate connections between these systems and their impact on some applications.

In section 1 we shall start by establishing Maxwell's equations in the framework of a functional analytical approach developed in [22]. This approach hinges on the observation that the time-derivative can be established as a normal operator. In the present context, this observation allows for a transparent discussion of the extended Maxwell system leading to new insights into this construction, see subsection 1.2. In the sections 2 and 3 we establish the links to the GEM and the Dirac equation. The last section is dedicated to a particular coupled system of Maxwell's equations for an electromagnetic field, the GEM

type system for the associated potentials and the Dirac equation, which in the light of our observations turns into a coupled system of three extended Maxwell systems.

1 Maxwell's System

1.1 Maxwell's equations as an Evolutionary Problem

We recall from [22] that the temporal differentiation operator denoted by ∂_0 can be established as a normal operator in the exponentially weighted complex L^2-type space $H_{\nu,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ given by

$$H_{\nu,0}(\mathbb{R}, H) := \{f : \mathbb{R} \to H \,|\, (t \mapsto f(t)\exp(-\nu t)) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; H)\},$$

where $\nu \in]0, \infty[$. We endow this space with its natural inner product $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_{\nu,0,0}$ defined by

$$(u, v) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle u\,(t)\,|v\,(t)\rangle_0 \,\exp\,(-2\nu t)\,\mathrm{d}t$$

where $\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_0$ denotes the inner product of the underlying complex Hilbert space H. If we consider $H_{\nu,0}\,(\mathbb{R}, H)$ as a real Hilbert space with inner product $\mathrm{Re}\langle \cdot \,|\, \cdot \rangle_{\nu,0,0}$ then it turns out that ∂_0 is strictly positive definite and hence, continuously invertible. More precisely, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle \partial_0 u | u \rangle_{\varrho,0,0} = \varrho |u|^2_{\varrho,0,0}.$$

Moreover, we define the extrapolation space $H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ associated with ∂_0 as the completion of $D(\partial_0^k)$ with respect to the inner product

$$(u, v) \mapsto \langle \partial_0^k u | \partial_0^k v \rangle_{\varrho,0,0} =: \langle u | v \rangle_{\varrho,k,0}.$$

In generalization of our earlier discussed material relations we obtain Maxwell's equations in the form

$$\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -J \\ K \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}$ is defined as the closure of

$$\operatorname{curl}|_{\mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)} : \mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)^3 \subseteq L^2(\Omega)^3 \to L^2(\Omega)^3$$
$$(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_3 & \partial_2 \\ \partial_3 & 0 & -\partial_1 \\ -\partial_2 & \partial_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is any open set[1] and $\mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)$ denotes the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω. The operator curl is then defined as its adjoint $\mathring{\text{curl}}^*$. As a consequence, the operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is skew-selfadjoint. Moreover, by the definition of $\mathring{\text{curl}}$ an implicit boundary condition in (1.1) is prescribed for the unknown E, namely the electric boundary condition $n \times E = 0$, where n denotes the outward unit normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$ (indeed, the condition $E \in D(\mathring{\text{curl}})$ is a generalization of the electric boundary condition, since we can define the operator $\mathring{\text{curl}}$ on domains, where no unit outward normal vector field exists).

Remark 1.1. Although any other boundary condition for $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \operatorname{curl} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ producing – say – an m-accretive operator (see [27] for a characterisation of those boundary conditions), under the constraint on the coefficients discussed here, yield well-posedness, we focus here on the standard, skew-selfadjoint case, since our goal is to gain structural insights in connection with the extended Maxwell system.

The material law in (1.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = M_0 + \partial_0^{-1} M_1, \tag{1.2}$$

where the operators M_0 and M_1 are arbitrary bounded linear operators on $H := L^2(\Omega)^3$ satisfying the two requirements

- (**H1**) M_0 selfadjoint,
- (**H2**) $\nu M_0 + \operatorname{Re} M_1 \geqslant c_0$ for some $c_0 \in]0, \infty[$ and all sufficiently large $\nu \in]0, \infty[$.

Under these assumptions the operator $\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ together with its adjoint are both strictly positive definite obviously resulting in well-posedness of (1.1), compare [22]. Moreover, the solution operator is *causal*, i.e., denoting

$$S := \overline{\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)}^{-1} \in L(H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H), H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H))$$

we have the operator equation

$$\chi_{]-\infty,0[}(\mathrm{m}_0) S \chi_{]-\infty,0[}(\mathrm{m}_0) = \chi_{]-\infty,0[}(\mathrm{m}_0) S,$$

where $\chi_{]-\infty,0[}(\mathrm{m}_0)$ denotes the multiplication operator on $H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ induced by the cut-off function $t \mapsto \chi_{]-\infty,0[}(t)$.

[1] Note that we do not need to impose any additional geometric constraints on Ω, since boundary trace results and compact embedding results are not required for a basic well-posedness result.

Remark 1.2.

(a) Indeed, in [22] the well-posedness is proved for a broader class of possible material laws $\mathcal{M}$, which are given as analytic operator-valued functions of ∂_0^{-1}. However, for sake of simplicity we restrict ourselves to the "affine" type situation (1.2).

(b) It is worth noting, that although in restricted cases well-posedness of Maxwell's equations is a classical result, it is still a new result in the current generality, since here M_0, M_1 are operators (and not just matrices or matrix-valued functions with $L^\infty(\Omega)$-entries). So, in particular non-local coefficients are painlessly included. Even if we consider only the matrix-valued case, the well-posedness result obtained is surprisingly powerful. We have **not** required M_0 to be strictly positive definite, but merely that (**H1**), (**H2**) hold. Thus, we have without additional effort not only included eddy current type problems, where

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}, \; M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with μ, σ strictly positive definite, but indeed mixed problems, where M_0 is strictly positive definite in some part of Ω and we have an eddy current type situation in another part of Ω. A typical model situation for the latter case is the transmission problem between a transformer core with a gap and its complement, if within the transformer core an eddy current approximation is used, whereas in the complement the Maxwell system with a strictly positive definite M_0 is assumed. With the usual methods (semi-groups, symmetric hyperbolic systems, spectral theory etc.) such a model situation is not as easily accessible.

(c) It is also noteworthy that we do not assume that M_0, M_1, are block-diagonal, as in the classical anisotropic inhomogeneous media case, so general bi-anisotropic and chiral media are covered by the same description.

Remark 1.3 (See-saw regularity and initial-value problems).

(a) With the help of the notion of Sobolev lattices the equation

$$\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) U = F \tag{1.3}$$

may also be read line by line. The price one has to pay is that even if $F \in H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ only the solution U and $\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) U$ belong to $H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$. But as we suppressed to write the closure for avoiding to clumsy a notation we observe that the constituents of the sum on the right-hand side only satisfy the following

$$\partial_0 M_0 U \in H_{\varrho,k-1}(\mathbb{R}, H), \; M_1 U \in H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H), \; \partial_0 M_0 U \in H_{\varrho,k-1}(\mathbb{R}, H),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_+ \\ U_- \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H_{-1}(|\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}| + \mathrm{i}) \oplus H_{-1}(|\operatorname{curl}| + \mathrm{i})),$$

where we used the notion of Sobolev lattices, see e.g. [23] (and in particular [23, p. 50]). Later, we shall need that U in fact attains values in the domain of the Maxwell operator if interpreted suitably. This can be made precise as follows. Rearranging the terms in (1.3), we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} U = F - \partial_0 M_0 U + M_1 U \in H_{\varrho,k-1}(\mathbb{R}, H).$$

Hence, from $U \in H_{\varrho,k}(\mathbb{R}, H) \subseteqq H_{\varrho,k-1}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ we infer that

$$U = \left(1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)^{-1} (F - \partial_0 M_0 U + M_1 U + U) \\ \in H_{\varrho,k-1}(\mathbb{R}, H_1(|\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}| + \mathrm{i}) \oplus H_1(|\operatorname{curl}| + \mathrm{i})).$$

In particular, for F belonging to the inductive limit $H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H) := \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} H_{\nu,k}(\mathbb{R}, H)$, we get that $U \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H_1(|\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}| + \mathrm{i}) \oplus H_1(|\operatorname{curl}| + \mathrm{i}))$.

(b) If we want to include initial values in Maxwell's equations

$$\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) U = F, \tag{1.4}$$

we may assume that the given right hand side F vanishes on $]-\infty, 0]$ and we additionally assume that[2] $M_0 U(0+) = M_0 U_0$ for a given element $U_0 \in H$. There are two ways to incorporate this initial value problem into our abstract framework.

- If $U_0 \in D\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ then we could consider the problem

$$\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \left(U - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes U_0\right) \\ = F - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} U_0 - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes M_1 U_0, \tag{1.5}$$

  which is well-posed according to our solution theory. Clearly, U satisfies the differential equation (1.4) for positive times. Moreover, due to the causality of the solution operator, we get that $U - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes U_0$ is supported on the positive real axis. Furthermore, from (1.5) we read off that

$$M_0(U - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes U_0) \in H_{\nu,1}(\mathbb{R}; H_{-1}(A + 1)),$$

  where $H_{-1}(A+1)$ denotes the first extrapolation space associated with the oper-

[2] Note that it only makes sense to prescribe an initial value for the part of U, which gets differentiated with respect to time.

ator $A+1$ (see [23, Section 2.1]). Thus, by a version of the Sobolev embedding theorem (see [23, Lemma 3.1.59]), we obtain the continuity of $M_0(U-\chi_{]0,\infty[}\otimes U_0)$ yielding

$$M_0(U-\chi_{]0,\infty[}\otimes U_0)(0+) = M_0(U-\chi_{]0,\infty[}\otimes U_0)(0-) = 0,$$

which implies $M_0U(0+) = M_0U_0$.

- Alternatively, for including initial data we note that we can extend the above solution theory to $H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R},H)$, and that initial data can then be modeled as Dirac-δ-sources. Indeed, noting that $\partial_0\chi_{]0,\infty[} = \delta$ we get

$$\left(\partial_0 M_0 + M_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) U = F + \delta\otimes M_0U_0 \tag{1.6}$$

  as an equation in $H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R},H)$ modelling the prescription of initial data.

In view of our perspective on the extended Maxwell system, which we wish to approach next, we consider Maxwell's equations in a more simple situation, where actually $M_1 = 0$. As a consequence (**H1**), (**H2**) imply that M_0 is selfadjoint and strictly positive definite. In this situation, classical methods can of course easily be employed. Indeed, we have an abundance of structure. Obviously, equation (1.1) can in this case be re-written as

$$\left(\partial_0 + \sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right) V = \sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} -J \\ K \end{pmatrix} =: F, \tag{1.7}$$

where $V = \sqrt{M_0}\begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix}$. Here now $\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}$ is still skew-selfadjoint and commuting with the normal operator ∂_0 and so we can consider (1.7) in the convenient framework of commuting selfadjoint operators, for which we can approach solvability simply by noting that the operator occurring on the left-hand side is actually given by a function evaluation $f\left(\operatorname{Im}\partial_0, \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right)$, where[3]

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} &\to \mathbb{C}, \\ (x,y) &\mapsto \mathrm{i}\,(x+y)+\nu. \end{aligned}$$

[3] Invertibility can be read off, since the solution operator is simply $g\left(\operatorname{Im}\partial_0, \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl} \\ \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} &\to \mathbb{C}, \\ (x,y) &\mapsto \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\,(x+y)+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

This solution can – for suitable data – be represented by utilizing the fundamental solution associated

We shall take this observation, which obviously extends to more general conservative media, as a starting point to discuss the extended Maxwell system.

1.2 The Extended Maxwell System

The extended Maxwell system amounts to a specific coupling of acoustic equations with Maxwell's equations, [19]. To fix some notation, we introduce the following differential operators.

Definition 1.4. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary open subset. We define the operator $\overset{\circ}{\text{grad}}$ as the closure of

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{grad}|_{\mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)} : \mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega) \subseteq L^2(\Omega) &\to L^2(\Omega)^3\\ \phi &\mapsto (\partial_1\phi, \partial_2\phi, \partial_3\phi).\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we define $\overset{\circ}{\text{div}}$ as the closure of

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}|_{\mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)} : \mathring{C}_\infty(\Omega)^3 \subseteq L^2(\Omega)^3 &\to L^2(\Omega)\\ (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i\psi_i.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we set $\operatorname{grad} := -(\overset{\circ}{\text{div}})^*$ and $\operatorname{div} := -(\overset{\circ}{\text{grad}})^*$ and obtain $\overset{\circ}{\text{grad}} \subseteq \operatorname{grad}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\text{div}} \subseteq \operatorname{div}$. Furthermore we introduce the following block-operator matrices:

$$A_{\mathrm{Dac}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0 & 0\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{grad}} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

---

with (1.7), which is given by

$$t \mapsto \chi_{[0,\infty[}(t) \exp\left(\mathrm{i}t\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right) = \chi_{[0,\infty[}(t) \exp\left(t\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right),$$

via a convolution integral

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[0,\infty[}(t-s) \exp\left((t-s)\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right) F(t)\, dt\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\left((t-s)\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right) F(t)\, dt\\ &= \exp\left(-s\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right)\int_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\left(t\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ \overset{\circ}{\text{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right) F(t)\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

arising in the study of acoustics with Dirichlet boundary conditions,

$$A_{\mathrm{Nac}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \operatorname{grad} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathring{\operatorname{div}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

denoting the acoustic operator with Neumann boundary conditions, and the Maxwell operator

$$A_{\mathrm{Max}} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, we set $A_{\mathrm{ac}} := A_{\mathrm{Dac}} + A_{\mathrm{Nac}}$.

Remark 1.5. We note that the operators $A_{\mathrm{Dac}}, A_{\mathrm{Nac}}$ and A_{Max} are all skew-selfadjoint. Moreover, since A_{Dac} and A_{Nac} commute, the operator A_{ac} is skew-selfadjoint as well. Finally, using the well-known relations $\operatorname{curl}\operatorname{grad} = 0$ and $\operatorname{div}\operatorname{curl} = 0$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathrm{Max}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} &= 0 \\ A_{\mathrm{ac}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

With these skew-selfadjoint operators the extended Maxwell system is given by

$$\left(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}\right) V = \widetilde{F}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a bounded, selfadjoint and strictly positive definite operator on $H := L^2(\Omega) \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega)$ (taking over the role of M_0 in the previous section). Setting $A := \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$, which is a skew-selfadjoint operator on H according to Remark 1.5, we arrive at the canonical form

$$(\partial_0 + A)V = \widetilde{F},$$

and thus, our solution theory applies. Moreover, we have that

$$\left(\operatorname{Im} \partial_0,\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}},\ \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}\right)$$

is a family of commuting, selfadjoint operators and thus, in the framework of the associated function calculus, we have that the operator on the left-hand side of (1.8) is now a function of this family given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto \mathrm{i}\,(x + y + z) + \nu,$$

whose invertibility is easily obtained.

Remark 1.6. It is useful to consider this extended Maxwell system e.g. for numerical purposes, see [26, 31], or low frequency limits [16, 17, 19], since the spatial operator is now a differential operator of elliptic type, yielding a "small" (e.g. a finite dimensional) null space.

The next theorem shows the interconnection between the extended and the original Maxwell system.

Theorem 1.7. *Let V be a solution of (1.8) for a right-hand side $\widetilde{F} \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; H)$. Then V satisfies*

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}) V = F, \tag{1.9}$$

where $F := \partial_0(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1} \widetilde{F}$. Conversely, if V satisfies (1.9) for a right-hand side $F \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; H) \cap D(A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})$, then V solves (1.8) for $\widetilde{F} := (1 + \partial_0^{-1} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) F$.
Finally, if V satisfies (1.9) and F and $\mathcal{E}$ are of the block form

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ F_1 \\ F_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{00} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{E}_{11} & \mathcal{E}_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{E}_{21} & \mathcal{E}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{E}_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

then $V = (0, E, H, 0)$, where (E, H) is a solution (1.7) for the right-hand side (F_1, F_2) and $M_0 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{11} & \mathcal{E}_{12} \\ \mathcal{E}_{21} & \mathcal{E}_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. According to Remark 1.5 we have that

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}})(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) = \partial_0(\partial_0 + \sqrt{E^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})$$

and thus,

$$\partial_0^{-1}(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1} = (\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}})^{-1} (\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}.$$

Let V satisfy (1.8) for a right-hand side $\widetilde{F}$ and define $F := \partial_0(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1} \widetilde{F}$ as in the assertion. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0^{-1} V &= \partial_0^{-1}(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1} \widetilde{F} \\ &= (\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} A_{\mathrm{Max}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}})^{-1} \partial_0^{-1} F, \end{aligned}$$

showing the first assertion. If V solves (1.9) for a right-hand side in $F \in D(A_{\mathrm{ac}} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}})$ then

we compute

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})\\&=(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}})^{-1}F\\&=\partial_0^{-1}(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}F,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})V=\partial_0^{-1}(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})F,$$

showing the second assertion. Assume now that F and $\mathcal{E}$ are of the block form stated above and let $V=(V_0,V_1,V_2,V_3)$ be the solution of (1.9). We have that

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}=\begin{pmatrix}0&\begin{pmatrix}0&0\end{pmatrix}&0\\\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}&\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix}0&-\operatorname{curl}\\\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}&0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}&\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}\\0&\begin{pmatrix}0&0\end{pmatrix}&0\end{pmatrix}$$

and hence, we obtain $\partial_0V_0=\partial_0V_3=0$, which gives $V_0=V_3=0$ as well as

$$\left(\partial_0+\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix}0&-\operatorname{curl}\\\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}&0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{M_0^{-1}}\right)\begin{pmatrix}V_1\\V_2\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}F_1\\F_2\end{pmatrix},$$

which shows the assertion.

□

Remark 1.8.

(a) If $\mathcal{E}$ has the block structure

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{E}_{00}&\mathcal{E}_{01}&0&0\\\mathcal{E}_{10}&\mathcal{E}_{11}&0&0\\0&0&\mathcal{E}_{22}&\mathcal{E}_{23}\\0&0&\mathcal{E}_{32}&\mathcal{E}_{33}\end{pmatrix}$$

it follows that not only $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ annihilate each other but also that $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ annihilate each other. Hence, $(\partial_0,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+1,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}+1,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}+1)$ is a family of commuting, continuously invertible operators, allowing us to study the problem

$$(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})U=\widetilde{F}$$

in the Sobolev-lattice $H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\partial_0,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}+1,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}+1,\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}+1)$, see [23].

(b) For general $\mathcal{E}$ we have

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})(\partial_0 - \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) =$$
$$= \partial_0^2 - \left(\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}\right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}\right)^2\right).$$

In the special case, when $\mathcal{E} = 1$ this is nothing but the wave operator

$$\partial_0^2 - \left(A_{\mathrm{Max}}^2 + A_{\mathrm{ac}}^2\right) = \partial_0^2 - \Delta =: \Box, \tag{1.10}$$

with unusually boundary conditions. This leads in the time-harmonic case to a weakly singular Green's tensor, which explains the mentioned interest for numerical methods.

(c) It may be preferable to expose the Hamiltonian structure of the extended Maxwell operator in the isotropic homogeneous case by applying the unitary selfadjoint permutation block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}$. Indeed, applying this transformation results in

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}(A_{\mathrm{Max}} + A_{\mathrm{ac}})\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}\mathring{\mathrm{div}} & 0\\ -\mathrm{curl} & \mathring{\mathrm{grad}}\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{grad} & \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\\ 0 & \mathrm{div}\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.11}$$

which is of the Hamiltonian form $\begin{pmatrix}0 & -C^*\\ C & 0\end{pmatrix}$ with $C = \begin{pmatrix}\mathrm{grad} & \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\\ 0 & \mathrm{div}\end{pmatrix}$. To obtain a Hamiltonian structure for the operator $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{ac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ we additionally have to assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is compatible with the permutation matrix in the sense that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\mathcal{E}\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&0&1\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\\1&0&0&0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_{00} & \begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{pmatrix} & \mathcal{G}_{11}\end{pmatrix}$$

for suitable operators $\mathcal{G}_{00}, \mathcal{G}_{11}$.

(d) As mentioned in the introduction the extended Maxwell system is actually rooted in the differential form calculus. In differential forms and for simplicity in the isotropic, homogeneous case the extended Maxwell system takes on the simple form

$$\partial_0 + \left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right) - \left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^*, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\mathring{d}\wedge$ denotes the closure of the exterior derivative initially defined on smooth

differential forms with compact support in the Riemannian manifold M. The adjoint $\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^*$ is taken with respect to the inner product of the direct sum $L^{0,2}(M)\oplus L^{1,2}(M)\oplus L^{2,2}(M)\oplus L^{3,2}(M)$, where $L^{q,2}(M)$ denotes the L^2-space of alternating differential forms of order $q\in\{0,1,2,3\}$. The block matrix structure induced by the direct sum structure of the underlying space leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^* & 0 & 0\\ \mathring{d}\wedge & 0 & -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^* & 0\\ 0 & \mathring{d}\wedge & 0 & -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^*\\ 0 & 0 & \mathring{d}\wedge & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to $A_{\mathrm{Max}}+A_{\mathrm{ac}}$ or unitarily equivalent on $L^{3,2}(M)\oplus L^{1,2}(M)\oplus L^{2,2}(M)\oplus L^{0,2}(M)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathring{d}\wedge & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^* & \mathring{d}\wedge\\ -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^* & \mathring{d}\wedge & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\left(\mathring{d}\wedge\right)^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to (1.11). The form of (1.12) obviously also extends to different dimensions, see [21, 18]. For example, the 1-dimensional extended Maxwell operator is in Cartesian block matrix form simply

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_1\\ \partial_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 Gravito-Electromagnetism (GEM)

The extended Maxwell system appear to be the linearization of the equations of gravitation (see [25], where a formulation within the framework of quaternions is provided). More recently, a reduced version of the extended Maxwell system was used in the context of modern **gravito-electromagnetism** (**GEM**), [28]. The equation reads as

$$\left(\partial_0+\sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ 0 & \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}}+\sqrt{\mathbb{C}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0\\ \mathring{\operatorname{grad}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}}\right)\begin{pmatrix} C\\ E\\ H \end{pmatrix}=F, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is assumed to be a selfadjoint strictly positive continuous operator on $H:=L^2(\Omega)\oplus L^2(\Omega)^3\oplus L^2(\Omega)^3$ and $F\in H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R};H)$ is a given source term. As in Remark 1.5 we

obtain that the spatial operators

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ 0 & \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}} \text{ and } \sqrt{\mathbb{C}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \operatorname{div} & 0\\ \mathring{\operatorname{grad}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}}$$

annihilate each other, yielding that

$$A = \sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl}\\ 0 & \mathring{\operatorname{curl}} & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathbb{C}}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \operatorname{div} & 0\\ \mathring{\operatorname{grad}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\sqrt{\mathbb{C}}$$

defines a skew-selfadjoint operator on H. Obviously, if (C, E, H) solves (2.1) for some right-hand side $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$ then

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})V = \widetilde{F},$$

where $V = (C, E, H, 0), \widetilde{F} = (F_0, F_1, F_2, 0)$ and $\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{C} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$ and vice versa. The connection of the latter system with the extended Maxwell system is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{C}$ be of the form $\begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{C}_{00} & \mathbb{C}_{01} & 0\\ \mathbb{C}_{10} & \mathbb{C}_{11} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{C}_{22}\end{pmatrix}$. Let $K \in L(L^2(\Omega))$ be selfadjoint and $S \in L(L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)^3)$ such that $K - S^*\mathbb{C}^{-1}S$ is strictly positive definite.*

$$\mathcal{E} := \begin{pmatrix}\mathbb{C} & \begin{pmatrix}0\\ 0\\ S\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & S^*\end{pmatrix} & K\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $F \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; H_1(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + 1))$ and let U satisfy

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})U = F. \tag{2.2}$$

Then U satisfies

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})U = (1 + \partial_0^{-1}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})F.$$

Conversely, if U satisfies

$$(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})U = \widetilde{F} \tag{2.3}$$

for some $\widetilde{F} \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; H_0(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + 1))$, then U is a solution of (2.2) for $F := \partial_0(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}\widetilde{F} \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; H_1(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + 1))$.

Proof. First we note that $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}$, $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}$ pairwise annihilate each other, due to the given structure of $\mathcal{E}$ (see Remark 1.8). Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}) \\ = \partial_0(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})\end{aligned}$$

yielding that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0^{-1}(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1} \\ = (\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Nac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}(\partial_0 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}}A_{\mathrm{Max}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{-1}} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}A_{\mathrm{Dac}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using this factorization result, we may derive the assertion as in the proof of Theorem 1.7. □

Remark 2.2. The system (2.1) makes its reappearance from a completely different angle in [14] and for numerical purposes in [1], [31], as an alternative to the fully extended Maxwell system.

3 The Dirac System

>From (1.10) we see that Dirac's problem of finding a first order factorization of the differential operator ▫ of the wave equation, which gave rise to the celebrated Dirac equations [5, 6], is solved by the extended Maxwell system with $\mathcal{E} = 1$. This observation will now be utilized to reformulate Dirac's equation as an extended Maxwell system.

Definition 3.1. We define the differential operator $C(\widehat{\partial})$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^2$, by[4]

$$C(\widehat{\partial}) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 & \partial_1 - \mathrm{i}\,\partial_2 \\ \partial_1 + \mathrm{i}\,\partial_2 & -\partial_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

[4]Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_3 & \partial_1 - \mathrm{i}\,\partial_2 \\ \partial_1 + \mathrm{i}\,\partial_2 & -\partial_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an operator quaternion, since it has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & -B^* \\ B & A^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A : D(A) \subseteq H \to H$, $B : D(B) \subseteq H \to H$ are closed, densely defined linear operators, such that A has a non-empty resolvent set $\nu(A)$ and A, B^* are commuting, i.e.

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} B \subseteq B (\lambda - A)^{-1}$$

for $\lambda \in \nu(A)$. If A, B are complex numbers (as multipliers) this block operator matrix yields a standard representation of the classical quaternions.

The *Dirac operator* is then given by the block operator matrix on the space $H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^4)$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial}) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 + \mathrm{i} & C(\widehat{\partial}) \\ C(\widehat{\partial}) & \partial_0 - \mathrm{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 3.2. Frequently, the operator $C(\widehat{\partial})$ is represented by $\sum_{k=1}^{3} \Pi_k \, \partial_k$, where

$$\Pi_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; \Pi_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ +\mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \; \Pi_3 := \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are known as the *Pauli matrices*.

Lemma 3.3. *The Dirac operator $\mathcal{Q}_0(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial})$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator*

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial}) := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 & \mathrm{i} - \mathrm{i}\, C(\widehat{\partial}) \\ \mathrm{i} + \mathrm{i}\, C(\widehat{\partial}) & \partial_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Q}_1$ is of the Hamiltonian form $\partial_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -W^* \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $W := \mathrm{i}(1 + C(\widehat{\partial}))$.

Proof. Applying the unitary transformation given by the block matrix $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} +\mathrm{i} & +1 \\ +\mathrm{i} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ to $\mathcal{Q}_0(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} +\mathrm{i} & +1 \\ +\mathrm{i} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 + \mathrm{i} & C(\widehat{\partial}) \\ C(\widehat{\partial}) & \partial_0 - \mathrm{i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i} & -\mathrm{i} \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} +\mathrm{i} & +1 \\ +\mathrm{i} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\partial_0 + 1 + C(\widehat{\partial}) & -\mathrm{i}\partial_0 + 1 - C(\widehat{\partial}) \\ \partial_0 - \mathrm{i} - \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial}) & -\partial_0 + \mathrm{i} - \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_0 & \mathrm{i} - \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial}) \\ \mathrm{i} + \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial}) & \partial_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_1(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial}). \end{aligned}$$

To see that $\mathcal{Q}_1(\partial_0, \widehat{\partial})$ has the asserted Hamiltonian structure, we first observe that

$$C(\widehat{\partial})^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_3 & -\partial_1 + \mathrm{i}\partial_2 \\ -\partial_1 - \mathrm{i}\partial_2 & \partial_3 \end{pmatrix} = -C(\widehat{\partial})$$

and thus

$$W^* = -\mathrm{i} - \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial})^* = -\mathrm{i} + \mathrm{i}C(\widehat{\partial}),$$

which yields the assertion. □

To see the connection between the Dirac equation and the extended Maxwell equation we separate real and imaginary parts. For doing so, we consider $\mathbb{C}$ as a two dimensional

Hilbert space over the field $\mathbb{R}$ with inner product $\langle z_0|z_1\rangle := \operatorname{Re} z_0^* z_1$. Then the mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{R}^2 &\to \mathbb{C}\\ (x,y) &\mapsto x+\mathrm{i}y\end{aligned}$$

becomes unitary. The multiplication with a complex number $a+\mathrm{i}b$, $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ then becomes the multiplication with the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & -b\\ b & a\end{pmatrix}$. Consequently, the operator W is unitarily equivalent to

$$\widetilde{W} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1-\partial_3 & \partial_2 & -\partial_1\\ 1+\partial_3 & 0 & \partial_1 & \partial_2\\ -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 & 0 & -1+\partial_3\\ \partial_1 & -\partial_2 & 1-\partial_3 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_3 & \partial_2 & -\partial_1\\ \partial_3 & 0 & \partial_1 & \partial_2\\ -\partial_2 & -\partial_1 & 0 & \partial_3\\ \partial_1 & -\partial_2 & -\partial_3 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *The operator* $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\widetilde{W}^*\\ \widetilde{W} & 0\end{pmatrix}$ *is unitarily equivalent to*

$$\mathcal{M}_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl} & \operatorname{grad}\\ \operatorname{grad} & \operatorname{curl} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}0&0&-1\end{pmatrix} & 0\\ \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\-1\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0&1&0\\-1&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix}\\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&0\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}\\ \begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\end{pmatrix} & 0\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&-1\\-1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0&-\partial_3&\partial_2&-\partial_1\\ \partial_3&0&\partial_1&\partial_2\\ -\partial_2&-\partial_1&0&\partial_3\\ \partial_1&-\partial_2&-\partial_3&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&1\\1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&-1\\-1&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_3&\partial_2&-\partial_1&0\\0&\partial_1&\partial_2&\partial_3\\-\partial_1&0&\partial_3&-\partial_2\\-\partial_2&-\partial_3&0&\partial_1\end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix}\partial_1&0&-\partial_3&\partial_2\\ \partial_2&\partial_3&0&-\partial_1\\ \partial_3&-\partial_2&\partial_1&0\\0&\partial_1&\partial_2&\partial_3\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{grad} & \operatorname{curl} \\ 0 & \operatorname{div} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence, we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{W} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{grad} & \operatorname{curl} \\ 0 & \operatorname{div} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \widetilde{W}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\operatorname{div} & 0 \\ \operatorname{curl} & -\operatorname{grad} \end{pmatrix}$$

which gives that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -W^* \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{div} & 0 \\ -\operatorname{curl} & \operatorname{grad} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{grad} & \operatorname{curl} \\ 0 & \operatorname{div} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since this transformation is obviously unitary, we derive the assertion. □

Remark 3.5.

(a) We have shown that in the free-space situation, the Dirac operator is unitarily equivalent to the extended Maxwell operator[5] (here in the Hamiltonian form, see Remark 1.8)

$$\partial_0 + \mathcal{M}_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\operatorname{curl} & \operatorname{grad} \\ \operatorname{grad} & \operatorname{curl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{div} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

considered as operators on the real Hilbert space $H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^2)^4)$. Here,

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

is skew-selfadjoint, i.e. from the electrodynamics perspective we are in a chiral media case.

(b) It is a rather remarkable observation that the Dirac equation is so closely connected to the extended Maxwell system. It appears from this perspective that spinors are actually a redundant construction since the alternating forms setup for the extended Maxwell system is already quite sufficient to discuss Dirac's equation. The interpretation of this observation is not a mathematical issue but may well be a matter for theoretical physicists to contemplate. Due to unitary equivalence of course nothing of the spinor structure is actually lost and may still be utilized if desired. Since the extended Maxwell

[5] In the framework of quaternions a connection between a differently extended time-harmonic Maxwell operator and the time-harmonic Dirac operator has earlier been discovered by Kravchenko and Shapiro, [12], compare also [11].

system is actually a differential forms construction, as a by-product we get a natural transfer to Riemannian manifolds other than $\mathbb{R}^3$ for free, see Remark 1.8 (d). As for the consideration of lower dimensional cases, we recall the discussion in Remark 1.8 (d).

4 The Maxwell-Dirac System

The Maxwell-Dirac System ([3]) is a coupled system of Maxwell's equations and the Dirac equation. The coupling occurs via the so-called vector and scalar potentials. In Physics literature solutions to the Dirac equation are also known as the spinors. Having demonstrated the connections of the Maxwell equation to the extended Maxwell system as well as the connection of the Dirac equation to the extended Maxwell system, it remains to provide the relationship of the vector and scalar potentials to the extended Maxwell system[6]. This will actually play the major role in this section. For simplicity, we focus on the case, where the material parameters are of the form $\mathcal{E} = 1$ and $M_0 = 1$. We shall abbreviate

$$A = (A_{\mathrm{Max}} + A_{\mathrm{Dac}} + A_{\mathrm{Nac}}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{div} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathring{\mathrm{grad}} & 0 & -\mathrm{curl} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathring{\mathrm{curl}} & 0 & \mathrm{grad} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathring{\mathrm{div}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

on the open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. The relationship of the equations for the scalar and the vector potentials to the extended Maxwell system is as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ open and let $(E, H) \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\Omega)^6)$ satisfy Maxwell's equation, i.e.,*

$$\partial_0 \begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{curl} \\ \mathring{\mathrm{curl}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E \\ H \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -J \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} E_0 \\ H_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with the property that $E_0 \in D(\mathrm{div})$, $H_0 \in \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}[D(\mathring{\mathrm{curl}})]$, and $J \in H_{\varrho,0}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^3)$ such that $J = 0$ on $]-\infty, 0[$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega) \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega))$,

[6] In this context it is remarkable that already Dirac, by suggesting to include magnetic charge densities in Maxwell's equations, [4] , was led to consider a potential of the general form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}$, which in effect leads to an extended Maxwell system not for the electromagnetic field but for its potential:

$$(\partial_0 - A)\,\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \alpha_{(0)}.$$

$\alpha_{1,0} \in D(\mathring{\operatorname{curl}})$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) α *satisfies*

$$(\partial_0 - A)\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $H_0 = -\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}\alpha_{1,0}$,

(ii) $\alpha_2 = 0$, $\alpha_3 = 0$, *and* α_0, α_1 *satisfy*

$$E = \partial_0(\alpha_1 - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes \alpha_{1,0}) - \operatorname{grad}\alpha_0 \text{ and } H = -\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}\alpha_1.$$

Proof. Let $H := L^2(\Omega) \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega)^3 \oplus L^2(\Omega)$. Assume that α satisfies the extended Maxwell system with right hand side $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We have in $H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0\alpha_0 - \operatorname{div}\alpha_1 &= 0, \\ \partial_0\left(\alpha_1 - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes \alpha_{1,0}\right) - \mathring{\operatorname{grad}}\alpha_0 + \operatorname{curl}\alpha_2 &= E, \\ \partial_0\alpha_2 - \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}\alpha_1 - \operatorname{grad}\alpha_3 &= H, \\ \partial_0\alpha_3 - \mathring{\operatorname{div}}\alpha_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the last two equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0\alpha_2 - \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}\alpha_1 - \operatorname{grad}\alpha_3 &= H, \\ \partial_0\alpha_3 - \mathring{\operatorname{div}}\alpha_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathring{\operatorname{div}}$ to the first and substituting $\mathring{\operatorname{div}}\alpha_2$ from the second equation yields, still computing in the space $H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H)$,

$$\partial_0^2\alpha_3 - \mathring{\operatorname{div}}\operatorname{grad}\alpha_3 = \mathring{\operatorname{div}}H.$$

Since H satisfies the equation

$$\partial_0 H + \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}E = \delta \otimes H_0,$$

we obtain, using that $H_0 \in \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}[D(\mathring{\operatorname{curl}})]$,

$$H \in H_{\varrho,-\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}; \overline{\mathring{\operatorname{curl}}[D(\mathring{\operatorname{curl}})]}\right) \subseteq H_{\varrho,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}; N(\mathring{\operatorname{div}})). \tag{4.2}$$

Consequently, α_3 satisfies the wave equation with vanishing source term from which we

derive $\alpha_3 = 0$. The latter gives

$$\mathring{\mathrm{div}}\alpha_2 = 0.$$

Consider now the second equation

$$\partial_0 \left(\alpha_1 - \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes \alpha_{1,0}\right) - \mathring{\mathrm{grad}}\alpha_0 + \mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2 = E. \tag{4.3}$$

Since E, H satisfy Maxwell's equations we have in particular $\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}E \in H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ as well as $\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1 \in H_{\nu,-\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H)$ and so recalling that

$$\alpha_{1,0} \in D\left(\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\right)$$

and applying $\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}$ to (4.3) we get using one of the Maxwell equations

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1 + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\left(\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2\right) &= \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}E + \delta \otimes \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_{1,0} \\ &= -\partial_0 H + \delta \otimes \left(H_0 + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_{1,0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\partial_0 \left(H + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1\right) + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\left(\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2\right) = \delta \otimes \left(H_0 + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_{1,0}\right) = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

by our assumption for the initial data H_0, $\alpha_{1,0}$. Now, from the third equation we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0 \alpha_2 - \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1 &= H, \\ \partial_0 \left(\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2\right) - \mathrm{curl}\left(\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1\right) &= \mathrm{curl}\,H, \\ \partial_0 \left(\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2\right) - \mathrm{curl}\left(H + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1\right) &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

With (4.4) we have that $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2 \\ H + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies a Maxwell system for vanishing data. Thus, we have also $\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2 = 0$ and $H + \mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\alpha_1 = 0$. Since we have that $\alpha_2 \in \overline{\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\left[D\left(\mathring{\mathrm{curl}}\right)\right]}$, by (4.2) and the first equation of (4.5), and $\mathrm{curl}\,\alpha_2 = 0$, we get $\alpha_2 = 0$, which shows the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). The converse implication is trivial. □

Remark 4.2. The tempted reader might wonder that for the potential α being the unique solution of a partial differential equations, there is no room left for the issue of gauge invariance. This is, however, not the case. In fact, we observe that different potentials result in a different right-hand side of the partial differential equation under consideration.

More precisely, we have

$$(\partial_0 - A)\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 + \partial_0\varphi \\ \alpha_1 + \mathring{\operatorname{grad}}\varphi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\partial_0^2 - \operatorname{div}\mathring{\operatorname{grad}}\right)\varphi \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for suitable scalar fields φ.

Now, we come back to the original Maxwell-Dirac system. As it has been demonstrated in the previous sections, the spinor part for the banded extended Maxwell version (i.e. the part of the Dirac equation) corresponds to[7]

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, recall from Theorem 4.1 that under the constraint

$$H_0 + \mathring{\operatorname{curl}}\alpha_{1,0} = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

the potential α is the solution of

$$(\partial_0 - A)\,\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in H_{\nu,0}\left(\mathbb{R}, H\right),$$

[7] Note that in Remark 3.5 the operator $\mathcal{M}_1$ corresponds to the Hamiltonian form of the extended Maxwell system, while here we deal with the non-Hamiltonian form (4.1).

where $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the solution $U^{(0)}$ of

$$(\partial_0 + A)\, U^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho \\ -J \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E_0 \\ H_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which requires the compatibility condition

$$\varrho = -\operatorname{div} \partial_0^{-1} J + \chi_{]0,\infty[} \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0. \tag{4.7}$$

So the Maxwell-Dirac system is of the form

$$\left(\partial_0 + \widetilde{M}_1 + \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -A \end{pmatrix}\right) U =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varrho \\ -J \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E_0 \\ H_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ g + \delta \otimes \psi_0 \\ (\partial_0 + A)^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \varrho \\ -J \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E_0 \\ H_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \delta \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{1,0} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} =: F,$$

where under assumptions (4.7), (4.6), U is of the form $U = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ E \\ H \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \psi \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$.

There is no apparent coupling in this system. In the actual Maxwell-Dirac system the coupling is via quadratic non-linearities built into the right-hand side J, g. The real-valued eight-component ψ corresponds to the four-component complex Dirac state (up to a (real)

unitary mapping) for which usually the same name is used. We have

$$\widetilde{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with M_1 as above (M_1 is skew-selfadjoint).

Remark 4.3. The mentioned non-linearities have to at least match the structure for a right-hand side capable of satisfying the original Maxwell equations, see e.g. [2, 3].

Let $A_k \in \mathbb{R}^{8\times 8}$ be selfadjoint matrices, such that

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{3} A_k \partial_k.$$

Then we set

$$J = \left(\langle \psi | A_k \psi \rangle\right)_k, \tag{4.8}$$

$$g = \alpha_0 S\psi + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_k S A_k \psi, , \tag{4.9}$$

$$SA_k = A_k S$$

with S skew-selfadjoint, $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

In this case, (on a purely formal level) we need to have for real-valued data and solutions according to (4.7):

$$\partial_0 \varrho = -\operatorname{div} J + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0.$$

Formally calculating the right-hand side we get (where we compute in the real setting, i.e. $\mathbb{R}$ is the underlying scalar field)

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} J + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0 &= -2\langle \psi | A_k \partial_k \psi \rangle + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0 \\ &= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 - 2\langle \psi | \left(\partial_0 + A\right) \psi \rangle + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0 \\ &= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 + 2\left\langle \psi \middle| \left(M_1 - \alpha_0 S - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_k S A_k \right) \psi - \delta \otimes \psi_0 \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0 \\ &= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 + 2\left\langle \psi \middle| \left(M_1 - \alpha_0 S - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_k S A_k \right) \psi \right\rangle - \delta \otimes \langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &\quad + \delta \otimes \operatorname{div} E_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 + 2 \left\langle \psi \middle| \left(M_1 - \alpha_0 S - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_k S A_k \right) \psi \right\rangle \\
&= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 + 2 \langle \psi | M_1 \psi \rangle - 2\alpha_0 \langle \psi | S \psi \rangle - \sum_{k=1}^{3} 2\alpha_k \langle \psi | S A_k \psi \rangle + \\
&\quad - \delta \otimes \left(|\psi_0|^2 - \operatorname{div} E_0 \right) \\
&= \partial_0 |\psi|^2 - \delta \otimes \left(|\psi_0|^2 - \operatorname{div} E_0 \right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, assuming

$$|\psi_0|^2 = \operatorname{div} E_0 \tag{4.10}$$

we have that the appropriate charge density coupling term matching the assumed quadratic non-linearity (4.8) must be

$$\varrho = |\psi|^2$$

to ensure that the current density J is suitable to generate an electro-magnetic field.

References

[1] R. Barthelmé, P. jun. Ciarlet, and E. Sonnendrücker. Generalized formulations of Maxwell's equations for numerical Vlasov-Maxwell simulations. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 17(5):657–680, 2007.

[2] P. D'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg. Low regularity solutions of the Maxwell-Dirac system. In *Hyperbolic problems. Theory, numerics and applications. Plenary and invited talks. Proceedings of the 12th international conference on hyperbolic problems, June 9–13, 2008*, pages 243–252. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2009.

[3] P. D'Ancona, D. Foschi, and S. Selberg. Null structure and almost optimal local well-posedness of the Maxwell-Dirac system. *Am. J. Math.*, 132(3):771–839, 2010.

[4] P. Dirac. Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A*, 133:60–72, 1931.

[5] P. A. M. Dirac. The quantum theory of the electron. I. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A*, 117:610–624, 1928.

[6] P. A. M. Dirac. The quantum theory of the electron. II. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A*, 118:351–361, 1928.

[7] K. Friedrichs. Differential forms on Riemannian manifolds. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8:551–590, 1955.

[8] O. Heaviside. A gravitational and electromagnetic analogy, part I. *The Electrician*, (31):281–282, 1893.

[9] D. Iwanenko and D. Landau. Zur Theorie des magnetischen Elektrons, I. *Z. Phys.*, 48:340–348, 1928.

[10] E. Kähler. Die Dirac-Gleichung. *Abh. Deutsch. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Kl. Math. Phys. Tech.*, 1961(1):38 s., 1961.

[11] V. V. Kravchenko. On the relation between the Maxwell system and the Dirac equation. *WSEAS Transactions on Systems*, 1(2):115–118, 2002.

[12] V. V. Kravchenko and M. V. Shapiro. Quaternionic time-harmonic Maxwell operator. *J. Phys. A, Math. Gen.*, 28(17):5017–5031, 1995.

[13] I. Krivsky and V. Simulik. Unitary connection in Maxwell-Dirac isomorphism and the Clifford algebra. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.*, 6(2):249–259, 1996.

[14] C.-D. Munz, R. Schneider, E. Sonnendrücker, and U. Voss. Maxwell's equations when the charge conservation is not satisfied. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics*, 328(5):431–436, 1999.

[15] T. Ohmura. A new formulation on the electromagnetic field. *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, 16:684–685, 1956.

[16] D. Pauly. Low frequency asymptotics for time-harmonic generalized Maxwell's equations in nonsmooth exterior domains. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, 16(2):591–622, 2006.

[17] D. Pauly. Complete low frequency asymptotics for time-harmonic generalized Maxwell equations in nonsmooth exterior domains. *Asymptotic Anal.*, 60(3-4):125–184, 2008.

[18] R. Picard. An elementary proof for a compact imbedding result in generalized electromagnetic theory. *Math. Z.*, 187:151–164, 1984.

[19] R. Picard. On the low frequency asymptotics in electromagnetic theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 354:50–73, 1984.

[20] R. Picard. On a structural observation in generalized electromagnetic theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 110:247–264, 1985.

[21] R. Picard. Eigensolution expansions for generalized Maxwell fields on $C^{0,1}$- manifolds with boundary. *Appl. Anal.*, 21:261–296, 1986.

[22] R. Picard. A structural observation for linear material laws in classical mathematical physics. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 32(14):1768–1803, 2009.

[23] R. Picard and D. McGhee. *Partial differential equations. A unified Hilbert space approach.* Berlin: de Gruyter, 2011.

[24] R. Picard, S. Trostorff, M. Waurick, and M. Wehowski. On Non-autonomous Evolutionary Problems. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 13(4):751–776, 2013.

[25] A. Singh. On the quaternionic form of linear equations for the gravitational field. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, 33(14):457–459, 1982.

[26] M. Taskinen and S. Vanska. Current and charge integral equation formulations and picard's extended maxwell system. *Antennas and Propagation, IEEE Transactions on*, 55(12):3495–3503, 2007.

[27] S. Trostorff. A characterization of boundary conditions yielding maximal monotone operators. Technical report, TU Dresden, 2013. arXiv:1310.5014, submitted.

[28] S. Ulrych. Gravitoelectromagnetism in a complex Clifford algebra. *Phys. Lett., B*, 633(4-5):631–635, 2006.

[29] M. Waurick. On Non-Autonomous Integro-Differential-Algebraic Evolutionary Problems. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 2014. to appear, arXiv:1307.2429.

[30] N. Weck. Maxwell's boundary value problem on Riemannian manifolds with nonsmooth boundaries. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 46:410–437, 1974.

[31] L. Weggler. Stabilized boundary element methods for low-frequency electromagnetic scattering. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 35(5):574–597, 2012.

[32] H. Weyl. Die natürlichen Randwertaufgaben im Außenraum für Strahlungsfelder beliebiger Dimension und beliebigen Ranges. *Math. Z.*, 56:105–119, 1952.